



Intervenors Alphabet Inc. and YouTube, LLC oppose Plaintiff's motion for relief of Judgment (Dkt. 162) for the same reasons set forth in Intervenors' opening and reply briefs in support of their Motion to Intervene, and the Court's Order granting that Motion. *See* Dkts. 138, 151, 158. Plaintiff offers no basis for reconsidering the Order or setting aside the Judgment. As Plaintiff's motion makes clear, any further briefing would be repetitive of matters already addressed by the Court.

Plaintiff also notes that Intervenors did not file an opposition to his motion for leave to file a motion for reconsideration. Dkt. 164; *see also* Dkt. 159. Per Local Rule 7-9(d), no response need be filed unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Nor was any response necessary, as Plaintiff simply repeats the same arguments that this Court already rejected.

Dated: August 3, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
Professional Corporation

By: s/ Jason Mollick

Jason Mollick (*pro hac vice*)  
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 999-5800  
Facsimile: (212) 999-5899  
jmollick@wsgr.com

*Counsel for Intervenors  
Alphabet Inc. and YouTube, LLC*